UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE                      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.          | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/676,952           | 09/30/2003                       | Vugranam C. Sreedhar | YOR920030353US1<br>(590.113) | 8133             |
|                      | 7590 05/12/200<br>ASSOCIATES LLC | 8                    | EXAMINER                     |                  |
| 409 BROAD S          | ΓREET                            | KISS, ERIC B         |                              |                  |
| PITTSBURGH, PA 15143 |                                  |                      | ART UNIT                     | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                      |                                  |                      | 2192                         |                  |
|                      |                                  |                      |                              |                  |
|                      |                                  |                      | MAIL DATE                    | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                      |                                  |                      | 05/12/2008                   | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)          |  |  |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 10/676,952      | SREEDHAR, VUGRANAM C. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit              |  |  |
| Eric B. Kiss    | 2192                  |  |  |

|  | Eric B. Kiss  | 2192  |  |
|--|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the d   | correspondence add  | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED 27 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP   | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR  | ALLOWANCE.  |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:   | the same day as filing a Notice of A<br>eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>al (with appeal fee) in compliance              | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| <ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> <li>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f</li> </ul>                                 | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE          | g date of the final rejection   | n.                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extraorder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1<br>ension and the corresponding amount of<br>hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic                 | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS   | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  |   |   | cause                                    |
| <ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett</li> <li>_ appeal; and/or</li> </ul>   | •   | ducing or simplifying th  | ne issues for                            |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c<br>NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   | orresponding number of finally reje   | ected claims.   |  |
| <ul> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>  |   | mpliant Amendment (I  | PTOL-324).                               |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | owable if submitted in a separate, t  | imely filed amendmer  | it canceling the                         |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   | l be entered and an ex  | xplanation of                            |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |   |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea   | al and/or appellant fails   | s to provide a                           |
| 10.  ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attach   | ed.                                      |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but<br>See Continuation Sheet.   | does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowan   | ce because:                              |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |  |
|  | /Eric B. Kiss/  |   |  |
|  | Primary Examiner, Art U   | nit 2192  |  |
|  |   |   |  |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Merely "allowing" a user to model an aspect of a software artifact (as recited in proposed claims 1-21) is not itself a tangible result, e.g., there is still nothing produced or changed by practicing the claimed invention, so as to achieve a practical application of the otherwise abstract idea. Further, the data structure recited in claim 21 still appears to be non-functional descriptive material, and the mere storage of such on a data storage device does not render it statutory subject matter. Accordingly, the rejection under 35 USC 101 would be maintained in view of the proposed amendments.

Applicant's comments regarding the Information Disclosure statement are correct. 10 of the 17 cited references have been considered as indicated by the examiner's initials on the form PTO/SB/08B.

Applicant's proposed amendments to the Specification would appear to overcome the objections to the disclosure if submitted as part of an enterable amendment.